

# Examiner's Report Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2018

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Subsidiary In History (WHI02) Paper 1D Breadth Study with Source Evaluation South Africa, 1948-2014



#### Edex cel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a> or <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.btec.co.uk</a>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/contactus">www.edexcel.com/contactus</a>.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>

Summer 2018
Publications Code WHI02\_1D\_pef\_20180815
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2018

#### Introduction

It was pleasing to see a range of well-informed and well-written responses from candidates on IAS Paper WHI02 1D which covers the option South Africa 1948-2014. The paper is divided into two sections. Section A contains a compulsory two-part question for the option studied, each part based on one source. It assesses source analysis and evaluation skills (AO2). Section B comprises a choice of essays that assess understanding of the period in depth (AO1) by targeting five second order concepts - cause, consequence, change/ continuity, similarity/difference and significance.

It is pleasing to note there has been considerable improvement in candidates' handling of the source material in this option since IAS exam began in 2016 and the vast majority of candidates do focus their responses an source analysis. In Section A many candidates understood what was meant by 'value' in question 1a) in the context of source analysis this year. However, many still continue to write about limitations to the source and since this is not covered by 'value' and hence not rewarded in the mark scheme, means that candidates disadvantage themselves in terms of the time take to develop such arguments which impacts on the time they have to spend on the rest of the paper. Many candidates also struggle with the concept of 'weight' in question 1b). Candidates need to approach weight by considering the reliability of the source. measured in terms of the trustworthiness of the provenance and/or the accuracy of the content. Hence candidates should explore the strengths and limitations of the source and on then, based upon their judgements ascribe weight to the Many candidates use the term 'weight' as interchangeable with 'value' and refer to 'adding 'and 'subtracting weight' throughout their answers. This approach makes it difficult to develop judgements based upon valid criteria and hence reach a final evaluation based on weight. Finally candidates do need to consider the use of contextual knowledge. Most candidates used context to confirm or challenge matters of detail in the source and thus achieved level two. Candidates are advised to use their contextual knowledge to explain and develop inferences which will enable them to focus discussion on what can be gained from the sources and so access the higher levels of the mark scheme.

In Section B, some candidates produced wholly descriptive essays which were devoid of analysis, but more responses were soundly structured. The most common weakness in Section B essays was the lack of a sharp focus on the precise terms of the question and/or the second order concept that was targeted.

It remains important to realise that Section A topics are drawn from highlighted topics on the specification whereas Section B questions may be set from any part of any Key Topic, and, as a result, full coverage of the specification is enormously important. There was little evidence on this paper of candidates having insufficient time to answer questions from Sections A and B.

The candidates' performance on individual questions is considered in the next section.

## Question 1a)

There were some good responses that achieved high Level 2 or beyond. These responses demonstrated an understanding of the source material and an ability to draw and develop inferences from the material using their contextual knowledge to explain inferences as well as expanding on matters in the source. Valid comments were made on the provenance of the source and value explained including Joseph's personal experience of the apartheid system. Some very well-crafted answers made use of both the attributes in the caption and Joseph's own claims in the source to justify their evaluation of the source. Most candidates who failed to reach Level 3, did so because of description of the content of the source rather than using it to draw inferences and establish value. There were some lengthy descriptions of white opposition or aspects of apartheid that were not used to develop and explain inferences that could be drawn from the source and this limits the achievement as well as using up valuable time. There were also answers that explored limitations which is not relevant to part a).

Source 1 comes from a British woman of Helen Joseph, who who was an anti-apartheid activist and a co-leader of the Federalian of South African nomen. In this source she describes the "utlerly invalid privalege" of white people and opinion about the "injustice" of apartheid. This source includes some valuable information an enquiry into the reasons for white opposition to apartheid. To begin with, in the first paragraph Joseph points at the comfort superior position of whites in terms of living conditions, ets we know, this "comfortable white life" was partly caused by the Seperate Amenities Act which segregated amenities for whites and blacks. Then she highlights injustice of this segregation. She states that usimply because of the edour of their skins" whites lived in much better conditions. This "invalid sprivilege" was the reason her becoming an anti-apartheid activist, thus, becoming an opposition to apartheid. In the second paragraph, Joseph highlights the reason for her to be an opposition to apartheid; she manages to notice those "forgothes", presumobility black people inhose suffering she heared herself she describes blacks as those "forgofben people", whose stories of "injustice and suffering" she heard herself. This greatmasty, be counted as a reason for the white

opposition. It was source allowed the passive, allowed the passive, she was leading a protest against the pass (aws, she was one of the accused in the Treason Trial. Presumably, that only emhasized the extent to which she was opposed to the apartheid because of its Tib injustive.

In emplusion, this source provides some valuable information an enguing into the reasons for white apartheid. Its stated by Itelen Toseph, one of the reasons was an invalid privelege of whites and segregation/apartheid which caused "suffering" that she had heard hersself.

This is a secure level 3 response achieving level 3 in all the bullet points in the mark scheme. It has good contextual knowledge and is effective in drawing inferences such as the reference to the 'superior' position of whites on the first page which is supported by reference to the source and developed by contextual knowledge of the separate Amenities Act. The evaluation is substantiated.

## Question 1b)

The best responses were written by candidates who successfully made reasoned inferences, evaluating the weight of the source in relation to the enquiry and using contextual knowledge to illuminate limitations of what could be gained. Candidates made good use of the authorship of the source and it implications to develop an evaluation and judgement that was based on valid criteria e.g., by referring to the value of Mphahele's person experience and the freedom of expression that was enabled as a result of his exile. Most candidates who did not achieve Level 4 failed to do so due to making inferences that were not fully developed or reasoned. Many candidates used accurate contextual knowledge was but this was usually only included to confirm/challenge details rather than going the step further to illuminate what could therefore be gained from the source. Some candidates took the source at face value and some attempted to turn it into an essay on apartheid. Many candidates missed opportunities to consider whether Mphahele's experience was typical - as a teacher he would certainly have been at the higher end of employment system.

The sources authorship, being on Milicon, makes him a reliable person to take of the black South African experience of apartheid. His being a a university educated school teacher in itself shows one of the injustices of quethoid apparent in the total employment of blocks in good jobs as a university degree-holder in a country with only 24% literacy rates in Africans in the 1950s, his status usuld be expected to be higher. However, not only we it reduced but it was also diminished by borning him flow teaching and his eventual life in exile, which a reflected the live, of many block South Alicon in the 19505, with many choosing to live in exile over living under unjust conditions. His experience we with registration for a Regional Poss document Shows the thoroughness of the opertheid regime in expelling Abrican Bram the cities, where job opportunities are high. With the highly appared Notice Abolition of Posses At implemented in the \$505, all make adult Abrican were required to have a post book reference book. Hardrik Vaccoard, the tection Harrister of the Native Abbain at the time and the architect of sportheid, showed the importance of implementing pass laws

ber enforcing aportheid. Ezekiel's encounter, while seemingly only tackling a very limited subject, is actually tackling the core of aportheid; the separation of the ones. The "big man" a mentioned with the ability to "Gorce a man to guit the city" emphasizes how easy it was but the government to upheave a black mon's like, barring them to relocate to bor blung touchands. Dentutons, in as little time as a more "24 hours" The garant control over where Abrican live and how long they stay there is one of the nort approvise of laws implemented under operational. The scarcity of jobs in South Abrica for blacks is emphasized Burther through Ezekiel's talk of how & losing his job could early men his body to relocate, and go though the "some poces" of thorough checking. as while block's encountered other apprecise in the 1950s, the wage of this specific to me the sauce rester it valuable for a histories understanding opertheir about mis experience of opertheid in the 19505. The big man's duly a mentioned in the source, is to "reduce the number" of "redundant natives," i'n other words the government's policy of influx control to protect the cities from charp black labour. The movement of Abicon was hence extremely limited, and so was their job apportunities and their wages, to a, the cities had higher paying jobs. Although these pass

Laws didn't stop Abiron from migrating to the cities to the thin the now the Abiron population only reversed on flot thro liane it made all those when I Abiron urban dwellers legally criminals. with the pass oblence lines being as equivelent to weeks worth of wages. The source, despite its both inchility to take a wider wrichy of struggles, has captured the main one, making it which when aversing how blacks have experienced apartlend policies.

This is a level 4 entry response. It draws out a number of valid inferences that are developed by reasoned explanation and explored with contextual knowledge. It does not sufficiently consider the limitations of the source to achieve a secure mark in level 4. There is just a brief consideration of this in the final paragraph.

# Question 2

This was a popular essay question and there were many secure answers that were supported with good knowledge. The best responses had a secure focus on the question and its second order concept of 'similarity and difference' and established criteria for judgement. Candidates drew out the differences in Botha's and de Klerk's use of the security forces and their relationship with Mandela and the ANC and with the outside world and contrasted these differences with their similar policy of negotiating with Mandela, their changes to the apartheid system and the use of the presidential system. At the lower end, some candidates provided wholly descriptive accounts of Botha's and de Klerk's policies that were treated separately. This restricted achievement in the levels.

Now Mouadays historians differ in their opinions concerning the extent to which PW Botha and FW de Klerk pursued very different policies in governing South Africa in the years 1975-1984 In my opinion, even though bouth Botha and de there were prime ministers under apartheid in the periods when the whole system of it was going through serious changes, it is worth noticing that Botha was araphy bying to sake apartheid (with his Total strategy), whereas de Kerk allowed the system to be abolished. It is hard to demy that there were some similarities between the goals of Botha and de Klerk that they pursued in governing South Africa Firstly, during their premierships apartheid had to undergo serious changes. Botha himself introduced some changes and repealed a number of acts in apartheticl. He was pursuing the goal of modifying / improving apartheid in order to tackle different sources of pressure (pressure from the opposition reconomic pressure), to clerk took part in the CodeSA negotiations which led to the abolition/fall of the apartheid but the goal he was pursuing was also get rid (at least partly, from a constant pressure put on South Africa because of the aparthelich; For example, the time period when Bo when bethe and de Ketk were prime ministers were marked with revolts in townships. The situation only worthered due to the rapid population growth among blacks. elloreover, sanctions and arms embargo were imposed on South Africa which can be counted as

e eco economic pressure state economic pressure. Thus, both Botha and de Klerk wanted to cope with that pressure by modifying repealing apartheid. Secondly, they both were trying to safe their prominent position. In order to do that Botha introduced "Total strategy" which increased the number of pegs le anih the police and strengthened the government by giving it control over townships (Botha introdureplaced black local councils with the the Joint Management committees). de Klert during the Coeles of regolia tions was also arguing for the position of white people in the government. However, it is important to draw a line between the way those prime ministers pursued those policies. Firstly, Botha was willing to only change apartheid, whereas de Klerk was reacly to abolish it. To create an image In order to achieve his goal Botha, for example, introduced a tricameral partiament for whites, indian and atourse extoured. He repealed such acts as the Imm Immorality act and the ellixed marriages act. He also introduced such changes to the Bantu odt education act and to the Seperate Amenities act. Havever, he was not willing to abilish aparthicol itself. In contrast, de Kerk actively took part in Coole SA negotiations with Melson Mandela and fanally agreed to abolish apartheid. Secondly, de Klerk was not intending to practically safe his power, he did not introduce any acts/strategies that usuall attempt to consdidate the power of the National party and the apartheid. IIn contrast, PW Botha introduced "Total strategies" which was operating on practice. For example, the number of people in the police increased almost 3 times an 220 years. Botha also introduced

of Emergency which was intended to cope with the internal elloreover, in his attempts to save apartheig to the civil wass in such organized coup wars of other countries Botha managed to do it. de int introduce would help both Bothamd de corclusion even though position of whites premiers hips number of serious changes (modifications, goals their willingness to save apartheid turthermore was a lot of pressure on apartheid, But de Klerk, not Botha, who finally agreed With the ANANC in order to cope with all the

This is a level 4 response. It is fully focused on similarity and difference and takes an analytical approach. It has sufficient knowledge to develop the argument. Some criteria for judgement are developed, although not fully, and its conclusion is supported.

## Question 3

There were only a few responses to this question. Those candidates who did answer the question showed some awareness of the second order concept — significance — and had some knowledge on the opposition to the new South African state in the years 1994-2014. The best responses considered the threat posed by Terreblanche and the AWB and contrasted it with the threat from Malema, the EEF and the DA and established criteria to reach a judgement as to which was the most significant opposition. However, there were a number of scripts that were ill-informed and struggled to develop material relevant to the question.

# Question 4

This was a very popular question. The best responses developed the rise of the USA as an economic partner, including the importance of the export of uranium, the value of the mining industry to the USAS and the attitude of US presidents, and additionally explored a range of alternative reasons for declining influence of Britain as an economic partner including the establishment of South Africa as a republic, the development of the Anti-Apartheid Movement and the impact of sporting boycotts. Lower scoring responses tended to describe rather than focus on explaining the reasons for the declining influence and some erroneously saw the USA as a bastion of racial freedom in this period.

Britain

- Commornealth

- Pacifished apartheid.

- Pacifishem

- Critishem

- Crit

During the 1960s and 1970s South Africa's dependance on Britain began to decline. This declining influence is due to many reasons such as the rise of the USA as an economic partner, Britain's critism of apartheid and South Africa having the Commonwealth in 1961. Britains makine The Least important to reason for the decline in British influence during the 1960s and 70s is because they to Verweerd decided South Africa would leave the commonwealth and 4 1961 become a republic instead. This meant & cut the final ties with Britain that it hads. Originally The United Porty British mostly British member) had encouraged british ammigration to South Africa but with their loss of power in the Profit election Britain no how too South Africa no longer had British ties in government, obviously decreasing their influence however They could still use The commenwealth as a tool. However in 1961 when south Africa became in a referendum, Britain could not larger time from political influence in South Africa. Therefore the loss of any political influence meant Britain had less influence in South Africa, however since many

British were still moving over it did not make that much of a difference in the long run. More important was Britain increasing opposition and critism of apartheid that drove them away. In the early 1960, the Brycat movement was strong in Britain this meant with less of the public buying South African products there was no reason for Britain to import them As a consequence Britains and South Africa's economical relationen , a weakened as Britain imported less and less. This continued in 1977 when Britain helped are UN pass a mandatory arms embargo for south Africa as well as other Janchians. In total its extimated that South Africal loss 183-40 billion on lost exports and sanctions Alvery However & thanks the less prosperous neighbouring African countries were comprotery dependant from South Africa's raw materials. This mount that & by now south Africas en economy was strong enough that Britain's decreasing imports and embarge sounchons did not actually affect South Africa as much as it would have in the 1950sprearlier When the leader of the ANC had told ! This lack of meaning in South Britain's influence obvious declined Therefore quite drowtically therefore as they a had very weak economic partnersonips. This meant they contain't influence them Africa with

trade and the constant critism of the aparthed, by both the British government and public made south Africa unwilling to strengthour partnership. with that would have increased Britains influence Therefore it was Britain's anti-aparthed campaigns and sometions that strongly decreased their influence However, most important auos the in the decrease in Britain's influence during the 1960; and 1970s was the rise of USA as an economic partner. Many companies in the USA were deciding to invest in South Africa as their one boad mages and lack of workers rights resulted in more profit for the large companies. During the 1900, 1970, investing throught bouth African goods but all also direct investment within the country This was vital in the decrease of British influence because with the large amounts of American investment South Africa no longer needed that of Britain. Additionally, South Africa were getting huge loans # The USA that allowed them to father economically stable enough to & lose the investment of one of their biggest investors in the past, Britain, By 1976 South Africa's American loans amounted to By 2.3 billion. Not only this but with the USA's increased investment in South Africa, The USA were

continued to veto most of the mandatory scinctions that were being proposed by the UN Again This allowed for the south African government to have enough meney that they abidn't need Britain to invest even mough foreign investment made up 70% of the state budget. Therefore the Vise of the USA was the vital in the lanch of influence as they supported the South African economy enough that they Africa could afford to lose Them as Britain as an economic partner in order to preserve apartheror and so they had less influence. To com In conclusion, the rise of the USA as South Africars economic partner was the most important reason for the declining influence of Britain during The 1960s and 1970's The is because they wistered the South African go economy enough That they could afford to lose Britain's investment to continue with apartheid, as well as reducing the amount of sanctions placed upon south Africa. Britain's own anti-apartheid campaigns lack of investment and loss of political influence also contributed but had USA not helped south Africa so much they would have had longger effect. For that reason USA's rise as an economic pointner must be the main reason for the decline.

This is a secure level 4 response. It has a good range of knowledge including the decision to leave the Commonwealth, the growth of the boycott movement, and the rise of the USA as an economic partner. Clear criteria for judgement are developed and hit has a supported conclusion.

Based on the performance of this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

## Section A

- Make sure you are aware of the topics highlighted for the source question and have prepared for them
- A careful reading of the sources is needed so that the issues raised are clearly identified
- You must ensure that you draw out inferences, but these should always be directly linked to the source and not driven by contextual knowledge
- You should consider the nature, origin and purpose of the source
- Do not merely restate what the provenance says think about how it can be used to address the question. In a, this requires a consideration of how it adds value and in b, this requires considering value and limitations
- Do not deal with the 'bullet points' separately value and weight are established by a more holistic approach that uses context and consideration of provenance to evaluate the source
- Contextual knowledge should be used to support the answer, not to drive it, and should be made relevant to the enquiry
- Question 1a does not require a consideration of the limitations of sources
- It is unlikely that weight can be assessed by listing all the things that a source does not deal with.

## Section B

- Spending a few minutes planning helps to ensure the second order concept is correctly identified
- Candidates must provide more precise contextual knowledge as evidence.
   Weaker responses lacked depth and sometimes range
- Candidates should avoid a narrative/descriptive approach; this undermines the analysis that is required for the higher levels
- Candidates need to be aware of key dates as identified in the specification so that they can address the questions with chronological precision
- Essay questions are set over a period of at least ten years; candidates need to address the whole time period set in the question
- Candidates should try to explore the links between issues in order to make the structure of the response flow more logically and to enable the integration of analysis.

